UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,006	10/02/2003	Jorn Borch Soe	14923.0016 C1D1	6421
27890 STEPTOE & JO	7590 09/14/200 DHNSON LLP	EXAMINER		
1330 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, N.	W.	WONG, LESLIE A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	PAPER
			09/14/2009	FAPEK

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,006	SOE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie Wong	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-19 and 28-53 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-19 and 28-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	cepted or b) objected to by the deduction of the deduction of the description of the desc	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 17, 18, 28, 32, 33, 35-40, 44-48, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fok et al (US 5451413) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action..

Fok et al teach the use of an oxidoreductase to improve dough quality (see entire patent, especially claims 4-7). Fok et al also teach the use of an emulsifying agent (see claim 6).

The claims appear to differ as to the use of an oxidoreductase that is capable of oxidizing maltose.

The ability to oxidize maltose would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Fok et al as the oxidoreductase is used as a dough improver as is claimed.

Claims 14-16, 19, 29-31, 34, 41-43, 49-51, and 53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fok et al (US 5451413) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action.

Fok et al disclose the use of an oxidoreductase to improve dough quality (see entire patent, especially claims 4-7). Fok et al also teach the use of an emulsifying agent (see claim 6).

The claims differ as to the specific type of oxidoreductase and emulsifier.

In the absence of a showing to the contrary the selection of oxidoreductase and emulsifier is seen as no more than a matter of choice and well-within the skill of the art.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use any oxidoreductase that serves as a dough improver and any dough emulsifier as both the use of oxidoreductases and emulsifiers in the dough art is no more than conventional. Applicant is using known components for their art-recognized function to obtain no more than expected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571)272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,006 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

LAW September 11, 2009